Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,515	NAROFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phillip H. Nguyen	2191
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After Final</u>	
(1) <u>Phillip H. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David R. Cochran (46,632)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 January 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Examiner's proposed amendment.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102 and 103		
Claims discussed: All independent claims		
Prior art documents discussed: Szoke and Mulchandani et al.		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the telephone interview, examiner discussed the allowable subject matter with the undersigned attorney and requested for an examiner's amendment to amend the independent claims 7, 12, 17, 26, 36, and 41 to include the allowable subject matter. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given by David Cochran on 1/26/2010.